Declassified by: MG Michael X. Garrett, USCENTCOM Chief of Staff Declassified on: 201505

* S F C P F T *

1

UNITED STATES ARMY

CENTER OF MILITARY HISTORY

+ + + + +

INTERVIEW OF

(b)(3), (b)(6)

HEADQUARTERS

MULTINATIONAL CORPS - IRAQ

BAGHDAD, Iraq

++++

26 JUNE 2007

(This transcript was produced from tapes provided by the U.S. Army Center of Military History.)

* S E C P E T *

SECRET

PROCEEDINGS

2

2	(b)(6) This is (b)(6)
3	writing a story on the Multinational Corps-
4	Iraq in the U.S. Army Center of Military
5	History. Today is 26 June 2007, and I am
6	about to interview (b)(3), (b)(6)
7	(b)(3), (b)(6) Effects Coordinator Cell,
8	Multinational Corps-Iraq, at the Headquarters
9	of the Multinational Corps-Iraq, Al Faw
10	Palace, Camp Victory, outside of Baghdad,
11	Iraq.
12	(b)(3),(b)(6), I wondered if we
13	could begin by having you say your full name.
14	(b)(3), (b)(6)
15	(b)(3), (b)(6)
16	(b)(6) And what is your
17	position in the Effects Coordination Cell?
18	(b)(3),(b)(6) I am the Chief
19	of Targeting and the Deputy for the
20	Reconciliation and Engagement Cell. That is
21	known as DREC.
22	(b)(6) : All right. Can you

* S E C P E T *

sir.

CFCPFT*

tell me a little bit about your background, branch?

(b)(3), (b)(6)Yes, Eighteen-year Field Artillery Officer. Spent entire career in general Artillery my assignments, primarily at the Corps and below level. Deployed to Desert Storm as a Middle officer. support Two deployments. While I was at Fort Stewart, commanded out in battery at Fort Stewart, and then had had three deployments to the Middle East in OIF operations from Fort Hood, either in 1st Cavalry Division and now I'm with III Corps.

Can you talk a little (b)(6)bit about those previous deployments in OIF? Yes. Tn 2002 (b)(3), (b)(6)

I deployed before it was known as OIF to CFLIC, Coalition Forces Land Control Command, Headquarters in Camp Doha, Kuwait, during the period of the 1003-V planning, which was the war plan for OIF.

FCPFT*

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

* <u>S E C R E T *</u>

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	(b)(3), (b)(6)
6	
7	
8	
9	(b)(6) : And you were there for
10	a year?
11	(b)(3), (b)(6) OIF-II
12	deployment was March 2004 to March 2005, and
13	then my previous deployment was six months,
14	August 2002 through January or February
15	2003.
16	(b)(6) : Right. So then you
17	were with the 1st Cavalry Division at that
18	time?
19	(b)(3), (b)(6) : OIF-II, I was
20	the 1st Cavalry Division, yes, sir.
21	(b)(6) : Can you I know you
22	said it once before, but because you have two

5

positions, can you say those two positions that you occupy right now?

(b)(3),(b)(6) : Yes. I am the Corps Chief of Targeting, which spans both lethal and non-lethal targeting, and I am the Deputy for the Reconciliation and Engagement Cell, which is primarily an extension of our non-lethal targeting aspects with other (Inaudible) operation, including governments and security primarily.

am the Deputy, because we are expecting at some point maybe down the road that's getting by get а 06 selected come forward lead the to to effort of reconciliation important (Inaudible).

(b)(6) I'll get to reconciliation in a minute. What I want to do is talk a little bit about what you do as Chief of the targeting.

Before that, I want to ask you in your own words what the Effects Coordination

* < F C P F T *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

* S E C R E T *

Cell does.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2 (b)(3), (b)(6) Yes. The

Effects Coordination Cell for our rotation is referred to as the Joint Fires and Effects Cell, which is termed the JFEC, and what we is coordinate number of disparate а activities for the Corps. By that, that we coordinate traditional, lethal fire counter-(Inaudible) artillery, support, radars, close air radars, counter-artillery support operations through our JOC Fire Cell.

General McAllen (Phonetic) is also responsible for coordinating the information operations line of operation for the Corps. That includes the Corps IM Cell which is resident as part of our Joint Fires and Effects Cell, as well as the IOTF, the Information Operations Task Force that is an extension of our IO efforts.

We also own the Electronic Warfare Coordination Cell, known as the EWCC. That is the coordinating agency for the Corps for

* < F C P F T *

7

both ground electronic warfare and air electronic warfare assets.

(b)(6) Does that include UAV?

(b)(3),(b)(6) : We do not control UAVs. However, we do coordinate for the use of UAVs for targeting ISR operations, primarily through our JOC Fires Cell that

works on the JOC floor in Current Operations.

Another piece of the JFEC is the Effects which Assessment Cell, level effects for campaign assessment Multinational Corps-Iraq as well as feeding preponderance of information Multinational Forces-Iraq for General overall strategic Petraeus' campaign assessment.

Then lastly, the piece that I own is our Targeting and Reconciliation and Engagement Cell. There's two halves to that cell, one-half of it being our traditional lethal targeting arm that primarily is concerned with operational targeting and HVI

* < F C P F T *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I'm sorry,

8

targeting, not so much what the units are doing out on the ground in current operations but the --

(b)(3), (b)(6)

HVI is High Value Individual, sir. operational extended have that to our targeting construct, which is really designed at going after the network as a whole, not individuals make just the that but the functions that a network include logistics, network does 🙈 financial, command and control, IO and all the different functions that the network has.

So we are not only going after the command and control nodes, which are primarily focus the of our high individual targeting efforts. We try to do that to complement and supplement the value individual oriented tactical high

that

in

а

counter

* < F C P F T *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

We

solution.

holistic

* S E C R E T *

operations that the units do during everyday strike operations.

The other half of the Targeting and Reconciliation Engagement Cell is what is known as the reconciliation engagement cell, which is the REC, which has swelled significantly over the last 60 or so days.

When we first arrived here, we had a very small non-lethal targeting section comprised of mostly field artillery guys and some other folks that worked side by side with me and my targeting operations, because non-lethal and lethal targeting have to be deconflicted and coordinated, but with reconciliation as a major line of operation MNFI level and MNCI level, we from brought in a much larger cast of personnel from both inside the Corps as well as outside the Corps.

(b)(6) : Okay. Very good. Very good rundown.

22 (b)(3), (b)(6) : I have one

* < F C P F T *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

10

more section that we also coordinate inside the JFEC is what is known as CRAM, which is the Counter-Rocket and Artillery System, and it is a large operation that provides both

5

1

2

3

4

1.4a, 1.4g

6 7

8

9

10

11

very large effort, a lot of money, lot of coordination, includes (Inaudible) gun systems, LCMR radars, traditional firefighter counter-motor radars, raid cameras and other types of devices for both search, warn and respond to the indirect fire threat that affects all of our FOBs here in Iraq.

12

13

14

So that is the other section that we do own.

15

16

(b)(6) All right. The Corps

17

did not bring a corps artillery here. Is

18

19

(b)(3), (b)(6)

Yes. The

corps artillery, III Corps Artillery, much to
our chagrin, deactivated on 16 September

22

when the Corps Artillery

* <u>S E C P E T *</u>

2006,

that correct?

and

deactivated, we did not bring the Corps
Artillery as it was previously designed here
to Iraq.

We are very fortunate, though, and were able to fence off about 85 folks from the previous Corps Artillery from Fort Sill to deploy with the Corps here to Iraq to complement the soldiers in the fire support element at Fort Hood to get the amount of people required to do the mission on the ground.

the The • current Corps inadequate to meet the (Inaudible), is requirements of what the JTF has to do here, had to bring а portion remaining personnel from when the Artillery deactivated forward here to Iraq.

(Inaudible) is the former and last III Corps

Artillery commander.

(b)(6): You talked about the number of people that came from the III Corps

* < F C P F T *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Okay.

CFCPFT*

Artillery. I wondered if you can talk in general terms about the numbers of people totally in the JFEC; that is, in the Effects Coordination Section, and in your sections, your two sections.

(b)(3), (b)(6) Okay. that just for Targetino the Reconciliation or is that JFEC in a large --JFEC, the large -- the (b)(6)whole organization.

(b)(3), (b)(6) Everything that General McDonald owns in the JFEC right now is 1.4a military, and contractor numbers are approximately -- not counting reach-back, approximately about 1.4a 1.4a folks additionally. So it's about | 1.4a | to It fluctuates a little bit, depending 1.4a on what contractors are in country and which ones are leaving.

We have a very large contractor support presence for IO and in the IOTF. Military, though, we are at the number as I

F C P F T *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

13

said, and the vast majority of them came from Fort Sill, and we had about 1.4a of us that are resident at Fort Hood.

We also had to use good artillery communications and intel personnel that were assigned to the former corps artillery to do jobs outside of their normal MOSs to fill the billets that were required throughout all the disparate activities that the JFEC does.

(b)(6) Okay. How about your targeting?

(b)(3),(b)(6) : The Lethal

Targeting Cell right now, counting myself, is

1.4a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Warrant Officers that are 131 Alpha Artillery Targeting ones, and 1.4a Series Intel NCOs.

relatively That. is small а leverages targeting cell, because it the intelligence functions from intel is linked into Operations case, and planning, both in our FUOPS and our plans and in Current Ops. So that cell touches

* < F C P F T *

all three of the planning horizons that the Corps operates in, as well as facilitates communications with higher, primarily with CENTCOM, as well as with lower through the MNDs to include (Inaudible) and the task force JFEC folks that are here in country

On the Reconciliation Engagement Cell side, a much larger construct. said, we came over with about a initially, and that has since grown to billet space for |1.4a|people from across the different commands, to include MNFI Strategic Effects, EFEC, which is the Force Strategic Engagement Cell, ORA, Task Force 1.4a which is JSOC, (Inaudible), Task Force 1.4a which is Detainee Operations Command, the MIDSTCKI, which is the Iraqi Training I can never remember exactly what their headquarters stands for, but basically it's the Iraqi Army and Iraqi Police Training Command, and we also have JWAC personnel from the Joint Warfare Analysis Center that are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

<u> * S E C R E T *</u>

attached to my folks for additional nodal and intelligence analysis.

(b)(6) : So when you were describing your Reconciliation and Engagement Cell, you mentioned the people from Multinational Force-Iraq. Do they come to your cell? Are they now attached to the MNC REC?

(b)(3), (b)(6) Yes, sir.

General Petraeus and General Odierno see the Force Special --Strategic Engagement cell, which is led by a two-star General at Force, and the Reconciliation Engagement Cell here being symbiotically linked at and have to be fused in all things together, that are doing from the tactical operational engagements method nested with the strategic engagements, so that there is shared situational understanding General Petraeus and General Odierno going forward.

Obviously, we are connected

* < F C P F T *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

16

digitally through various websites, e-mail, BGCs, etcetera, but we also have done human exchange of liaison officers between our cell and the EFEC.

We have got one lieutenant colonel Syops Officer full time in the EFSEC, and they have provided one officer as well from Force. So that there is both human and digital connectivity.

(b)(6): Where you as the Chief of the Targeting and the Deputy REC -- Where do you spend most of your time?

Actually, most (b)(3), (b)(6) of my times is in meetings, but other than in meetings I reside here in the Palace, here in I reside on the third floor in the Joint Fires and Effects Cell. That is where the REC is based, at least for now, because General McDonald is the Corps Effects Coordinator, and he is the General Officer agent that General Odierno has identified as reconciliation lines the lead for of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

operation within the Corps.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So we are located close to where his office is in the JFEC. We may move, but it remains to be seen, just because our footprint keeps getting larger and larger with the amount of personnel that we bring.

(b)(6) : Now what I meant was, besides meetings, do you spend most of your time in the reconciliation area, reconciliation and engagement area, or do you spend most of your time on the targeting, or do you divide it, that kind of thing?

Right. Right. (b)(3), (b)(6) Well, I'm afraid that's an excellent point, When we first got here, the engagement side our targeting cell was the smaller piece of what was going on. though the units at the tactical level have been doing tactical level engagements four years since they have been here, movement toward reconciliation was only just beginning and really was only happening out

* < F C P F T *

west, and there was not a huge Corps role.

So the first four or so months that we were here, I was 95 percent focused on lethal targeting, and then in the midapril time frame we really kicked into getting -- actually, let me take that back.

Lamb had a special little group of folks, before the EFEC, that was working some things at Force. We did some very special things that were not really above board -- that's not the right word -- that were not supposed to be well known activities. That was very closely coordinated between Force and Corps, and then in mid-March we started getting more formalized between Force and ourselves, and then in mid-April is when reconciliation came out of the closet, and we have been going full steam ahead since mid-April.

Now I'm very fortunate to have 1.4a

1.4a

22 | 1.4a Right now, I am 99 percent

* < F C P F T *

focused on Reconciliation and Engagement, since I am the Acting Chief, and about one percent focused on Lethal Targeting, because those TDPs are set, and our Battle Room is set, and the Warrants are handling that side, which is not -- Not that it's not important, but it is just lower in priority vice the Reconciliation and Engagement piece because

reconciliation

strategy,

organization, all at the same time.

(b)(6)

So there was a tremendous amount of organizational effort from initially just within the JFEC, and now throughout the Force staff, to get this thing moving, because it is a top priority for both General Petraeus and General Odierno, and as we saw yesterday, even at the post level.

Right.

side

TDP

* <u>S E C P E T *</u>

a little bit about -- actually, a lot about

reconciliation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

on

the

developing

I want to talk

* <u>S E C R E T *</u>

1 wants to, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

You know, just the (b)(6)reconciliation implies that it is term reconciliation between the Sunnis and Shias, but what appears to me is that it is really -- Out in Anbar, for example tribes really the tribes Sunni the deciding that they have had enough of AQI, Al are siding Qaeda in Iraq, and with Coalition.

want it's So to reconciliation between those Sunni tribes and the Coalition, I can see the use of that term. don't really we want recongiliation between the Shia and the Sunni sects in this country to make it peaceful?

(b)(3), (b)(6) : Sir,

absolutely. It's more than Sunni and Shia, because the Kurd situation in the north cannot be forgotten.

(b)(6) : Right.

* S E C R E T *

* S E C R E T *

(b)(3),(b)(6) : But you are correct, and we had even discussed earlier on about changing terms from reconciliation, because it does not really -- the way we are currently executing it, it's not really reconciliation the way we envision it in the

(b)(6) : Right.

(b)(3), (b)(6) : But we decided not to do that, because that term was already out there in multiple forums and in the media, and very senior levels of the administration had already used that term, and we were kind of stuck with where we are.

So what say is we try to reconciliation now is and whoever us fighting each other as well as fighting us, and getting those parties, whoever they may be, to turn their weapons toward Al Qaeda and stop attacking Coalition forces and ISF forces. That's Step One.

Step Two is we want them to stop

* < F C P F T *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

longer term.

<u> * S E C P E T *</u>

fighting among themselves, if it is intertribal, and go back and start to build a world/local, even in the city, peaceful environment.

Then, three, once those conditions are established, there is some semblance of the cycle of violence being broken where we can actually get GOI to bring in these various non-Shia forces.

Our west, the Sunnis (Inaudible) very correctly is that the tribes did turn against AQI, because they were tired of what AQI was doing to them, and lack of essential services, governing pieces coming to Anbar, and they knew the only way they could do that was to get peace, which they have done so, in coordination with us, to fight AQI, and they are doing it very effectively.

The GOI indirectly acknowledged Sheikh Sittar and his movement, and they have a peaceful coexistence at this point, but is it truly reconciled with a formal

declaration? No, it is not. And are we working toward getting those things brought to the table in a more formal manner? Yes, we are. But we do not do reconciliation as DDR, but we do see it as a precursor to get a more stable environment in the near term, primarily in the next 60 to 120 days.

(b)(6) : Right.

(b)(3),(b)(6) And then we will -- We will try not to, but if we do, we will act as the intermediary to get the GOI to engage disparate sectarian groups so that there is ability to bring as many people into the GOI political process fold as possible, and any reconcilables that will not come to the table we will take care of through lethal means.

(b)(6) All right. Let's talk about Anbar for a minute. You mentioned a Sheikh in the answer to your last question, Qasar, I believe.

(b)(3), (b)(6) : Sittar, sir,

SECRET

1	S-i-t-t-a-r.
2	(b)(6): Is he the senior
3	Sheikh out there?
4	(b)(3), (b)(6) : That is an
5	interesting question. That would be all
6	classified information.
7	(b)(6) Right.
8	(b)(3), (b)(6) : He is not
9	tribally acknowledged as the senior sheikh.
10	However, he is an up and coming sheikh that
11	has power and has been willing to bring folks
12	forward to fight AQI, and has done so, and
13	has been willing to engage with the Coalition
14	and, obviously, we have we have then provided
15	a very significant amount of support to his
16	organization.
17	(b)(6) : When did and
18	speaking of Anbar still, when did we begin to
19	see a movement toward this Step One of
20	reconciliation?
21	(b)(3),(b)(6) Yes, sir.
22	Actually, it started The early stage of
1	

25

it, when this is all said and done, will come out as by being between the April and June time frame of last year.

ORA and the (Inaudible) had started to do some work, obviously, very slow moving in the initial parts, and then it really caught fire and moved more quickly. But this has been an ongoing effort for over a year now.

(b)(6) : Right. Would you reflect on this a little bit? Is it primarily, do you think, due to our efforts or due to the tribes just getting fed up, or do you see some combination of the two?

(b)(3), (b)(6)Certainly, this again is official not our Corps position. This is my personal position, and my experiences from being here now for the third time is that it is a combination of the two, with more of it being toward the tribes themselves; because the tribes have alive and well Iraq for thousands in

* < F C P F T *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

years. Long before there was Iraq, long before there was an America, the tribes were here, and they will continue to be here well into the future, no matter what happens to

Iraq. The tribes will continue.

We tend to overlook that social structure, because it is not familiar to us in our western mindset of how we see nation state and governmental organizations.

The tribes have been hurting since the Coalition invasion in 2003, because their way of life has been disturbed. Saddam allowed them in various places to continue to exist with smuggling and other types of money to keep them relatively pacified so they did not turn against the government, and a lot of those activities were ceased once we removed the prior regime.

Over the years they have become more and more frustrated with the inability to provide economically for their tribesmen, which is completely understandable, and they

* <u>S E C R E T *</u>

27

1	realize that we aren't going anywhere until
2	AQ leaves, and AQ is not doing anything to
3	advance their cause with the Sunni or with
4	the other Iraqi locals, and the tribes have
5	turned against AQI.
6	We are seeing it more than just in
7	Anbar. We have folks working with us against
8	AQI in all of the MND battle spaces now, with
9	the exception of down southeast, which is
10	primarily a Shia haven.
11	(b)(6) What I'm really trying
12	to get at is: What steps has the Corps, the
13	MNC, whether it was in 2006 or today, taken
14	to facilitate this process of the tribes
15	turning against AQI? How have we
16	facilitated that?
17	(b)(3), (b)(6) : Okay. First
18	and foremost is we, we being Did you say
19	Force as well or just Corps?
20	(b)(6) : Just our actions as
21	Corps. I'm thinking mostly MNF-West.

(b)(3), (b)(6)

22

Okay. West --

<u> * S E C P E T *</u>

and all of our MND, what started in West has done a lot to bring in the local sheikhs, local tribes, local leaders, and engage in dialogue with them, and determined to work with them through our channels with GOI, the Government of Iraq, to provide the services and requirements that they need in their local areas.

We also -- The MEF also worked very hard with the Ministry of Interior to get eight what are called provisional security force units authorized, and this was prior to the main reconciliation movement. They have been in existence for about eight or so months now.

Those forces are basically provincial police units that are heavily tired to Sheikh Sittar and his folks, but are equipped and funded by MOI. Of those eight that MOI authorized, currently they have only manned three, but they are in the process of recruiting and standing up the other eight,

* < F C P F T *

and those are arrayed across Anbar in areas where the tribes and local leaders want to provide local security, because all reconciliation is local, just like politics, it is politics, and first because foremost, the folks that are coming forward to work with us don't really care so Iraq and the great questions, really just want whatever they want, which is peace and security for their families their clans in their home communities.

(b)(6) : Okay. Let's move into MND-North area and talk about a little bit about the reconciliation efforts there.

(b)(3),(b)(6) : First and foremost, as we talk about the reconciliation efforts, this has been an ongoing staffing effort that will continue in the future as we determine what our true left and right limits are for legal authorities as well as what is going to be acceptable to the commanders here on the ground based on the conditions that we

* < F C P F T *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

have here in Iraq.

So we are working many different resourcing options, because as we said earlier, what we are trying to do right now is basically triaging the different groups that are working together to be able to bring them into the fold with GOI and help husband along GOI's engagement with these folks in the longer term.

Clearly, the focus is on getting some sort of security progress in that 60 to 120 days prior to General Petraeus having to return with the Ambassador in September to testify before Congress.

Up north, a much different situation than Anbar. The Anbar model is not transferrable across Iraq. As I said earlier, all the reconciliation is local, and one has to tailor the package, depending on where you are operating.

Up north, the challenge, obviously, is it is Sunni, Sunni patrolled,

* < F C P F T *

31

very Sunni dominated, the heart of the old regime, very much a worry area for the Maliki government, and MND-North is doing a fantastic job of getting engaged to help mitigate the risks and results of the two Samarra mosque bombings, as well as our ongoing operations in Diyala and Baqubah.

We see the stability in Diyala, Saladin and (Inaudible) being directly tied anchoring shoulder to the northern Baghdad. that, lf we So can accelerants to stop coming in from the north we can hopefully reach some into Baghdad, of violence from Baghdad and reduced level then into the western parts of Iraq.

(b)(6) Okay. So you think they are doing a pretty good job up there, and there is still some work, though, to be done?

(b)(3), (b)(6) : Absolutely. We are just in the beginning stages of getting this moving because of the Sunni-Shia

* < F C P F T *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

* SECRET*

conflict and the inability of the GOI to see Baghdad, and the other artificials measures that we had imposed due to the way that the elections held were and the inability to get provincial elections on the table in the near term is hamstringing our ability to rapidly have a political change to provide a mechanism of hope for the people; and we are working that very very hard at Embassy and with GOI provincial elections on the calendar province, hopefully, so that we can give the folks that are wanting to come into the fold vestige of hope into some to come process.

Right now we are asking them to come in to support GOI. However, GOI does not deliver essential services too well nor is there a voting mechanism to get people represented into the political process, both of which are foreign here, and we should not expect rapid cultural changes in just three

* < F C P F T *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

<u> * S E C R E T *</u>

or four years in things that have not happened here ever.

So we constantly need to remind ourselves of that as we go forward here. This is not a western culture that understands these kinds of things that we are talking about.

Let's move into Okay. (b)(6)Baghdad. You've already covered a lot of the areas in the outlying Baghdad belts, with the exception maybe of the southern and MDC area. But I would like briefly for talk about you reconciliation efforts in Baghdad. How do you think that is going, with the JSSs and the COPs and the Safe Neighborhoods and the Safe Markets?

Is that leading to reconciliation?

(b)(3),(b)(6)

Sir, I would say right now is -- This is a long term effort, and we've only been at (b)(6)

(Phonetic) for a few months. It is going to

<u> * < = C P F T *</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

take a us a longer period of time, because we have just gotten all 20 of the brigades here about 10 days ago. 15 June is when we finally had all the surge forces here, and it will take sometime for those effects to be seen inside Baghdad.

Baghdad, obviously, is the epicenter of this conflict, and is by far the most difficult to get things accomplished due to the proximity of the various dynamic forces to the seat of power, NGOI, in the green zone.

JSSs, the COPs and movement with the (Inaudible) has been very As one can see clearly, murders are helpful. down tremendously from where they were a year or so ago or even into this fall. However, have had a huge amount of demographic shifting inside the Baghdad limits which will reconciliation efforts make going more difficult.

We have had tremendous progress,

* < F C P F T *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

though, in a small area, in Abu Ghraib, over the last 10 days. After about a seven month effort, we have got about 1900 Sunni IPs (Phonetic) that are getting vetted as we speak in Abu Ghraib to come into the IP system.

This was a very contentious issue with GOI, because they are very hesitant of large blocks of Sunnis coming into the ISF structures so close to Baghdad, because there is a perception that these are coup forces that will end up turning against the government if there is, in fact, a Coalition drawdown in the near term.

(b)(6): All right. Let's talk a little bit about MND-Center. It's a little bit different situation there, but there is still some fault lines between the Sunni and the Shia in that area and AQI. Obviously, that's part of the Baghdad Belt. Talk about what MNC is doing in there.

(b)(3), (b)(6) Right. Sir,

<u> * < = C P F T *</u>

Roger. The MND battle space is something for me personally that is pretty important, where when I was in Brigade 3, we owned the outer sheet area of Baghdad, which is southern Baghdad, which touches North Babel, which is

primarily MND-Center battle space.

Prior to MND-Center getting here, that entire area was an economy of force for the last three or four years due to lack of coalition forces able to work that battle space.

That battle space is extremely important to Baghdad and to the greater war effort, because it sits on, as you mentioned, the fault lines between the Sunni areas in the north and the very much Shia areas in the south, and additionally, it also sits on the

1.4b, 1.4d

southern belts which come into Baghdad. All of that transits through MND-Center battle space.

* < F C P F T *

Additionally, it has got a lot of mixed sect as well as mixed tribe, which is just as important, in a lot of cases more important than sect. That has caused a lot of tension and fighting among the tribes in the MND-Center area, and this has been going However, with on for a long period of time. the lack of Coalition presence there, we have just not been aware of it, and it is opportune for us to get MND-Center in, we did this to work this battle spring, space.

extremely critical Baghdad, and is going to pay us tremendous benefits once we have got them fully up to speed. They are just getting going now in ful1 momentum, and we are already seeing tremendous results in the area, just because of having the amount of Coalition presence, effort leadership and concentration of that area.

(b)(6) Okay. I just have a

* < F C P F T *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

* S E C P E T *

couple of more questions to ask, (b)(6)

2 (b)(3), (b)(6)

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(b)(3), (b)(6) : Sure.

You know, I've noticed (b)(6)looked at the Coalition campaign strategy or campaign plan, concept dated 6 March, and I come back in June and I What look at the Op Order 0701. I have noticed strikingly is, although -- There are a tremendous amount of similarities, but one of the key tasks that wasn't there in March in 0701, is there that today and is

That's a reflection of the Commanding General's interest, is it not? And I wondered if you would speak to how General Odierno has placed a great deal of emphasis on this aspect of reconciliation, far beyond simply moving it up in the queue to, I think it was, the second of the key tasks listed in 0701.

(b)(3),(b)(6) : Yes, sir.

* < F C P F T *

reconciliation.

* S E C P E T *

Sir, he clearly sees right now two priorities, Operation Phantom Thunder which is our surge operation, and not number two but One-Alpha is reconciliation.

He has articulated that, and we our top expect that will be two between now and the end of our rotation, because we are going to ride the surge as long as we can keep the 20 brigades here, and obviously, we want to leverage every bit of military benefit the surge creates securitywise we accelerate the so that can reconciliation effort.

Sir, as you mentioned, there was a drastic change between March and 0701. That happened for a number of reasons.

This groundswell was going to happen if we did something about it or not. The leadership very well understood that, and we decided early on to get on board and leverage that, hopefully, for a positive outcome, and not let it go astray without

* <u>S E C P E T *</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

harnessing the vast energy that we had gotten
-- that we see in this groundswell.

This is something that is a huge shift from the first three to four years of the fight here in Iraq. We tended to have a very neutral populous up until now where there's folks around the country that are willing to turn against AQI and move forward.

The key challenge for the Coalition right now is to ensure that GOI can provide basic governance assets and services to its people so that they can continue as a legitimate government to allow our drawdown of Coalition forces.

(b)(6): All right. That's all the questions I have at this time. Is there anything you would like to add that you think needs to get on the record?

(b)(3), (b)(6) I would tell you that what we need to be cognizant of going forward from here and to take away from where we are so far in Iraq, and one hopes

* < F C P F T *

* SECRET*

41

will continue and to work toward we successful outcome, is the ability to just through past the military line of operation and fully integrate our political government and interagency partners earlier rather than later into what we are doing the overall campaign so that we do by inability to provide hamstrung political governance requirements that the military cannot do just by the way that we are designed in our construct.

to need have а better organizational construct to do that earlier working multiple are lines on so operation simultaneously from the get-go and doing things in а linear fashion. not Nothing in Iraq is linear. Ιt is simultaneous.

(b)(6) All right. Thank you.

That concludes the interview with

21 (b)(3), (b)(6)

Thank you very much, (b)(3), (b)(6)

* < F C P F T *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Declassified by: MG Michael X. Garrett,
USCENTCOM Chief of Staff

Declassified on: 201505

(b)(3), (b)(6)

Release Rolled R

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

(202) 234-4433